

Appendix M. Program Review Selection Criteria

1994 PROGRAM REVIEW SELECTION CRITERIA

SELECTION CRITERIA (CRITERIA LIST REVISED QUARTERLY)

REVIEW (X)

COMBINATION =
COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

(✓) INDICATES SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE INCLUDED IN REVIEW RESPONSE DOCUMENT

PROGRAM SPECIFIC CRITERIA

<p>(✓)*1. Schools with FY 91 FFELP default rates of: 30% or higher for three consecutive years (89-90-91) - loss of FFELP eligibility 40% or higher for 1991 with no reduction of at least 5% from 1990 (LS&T action) 50% or higher for 1991 (LS&T action) (schools with loan volume of \$100,000 and greater)</p>	X	
<p>(✓) 2. 10 cases or more where students receive more than one grant per payment period (if cases exceed 2% or more of Pell recipients) during most recent completed award year.</p> <p>a. Request justification from school</p> <p>b. If adequate justification is not received within 30 days, place school on [reimbursement funding method]</p>	X	
<p>(✓) 3. 10 cases or more where students receive Pell Grants for more than 6 years (if cases exceed 2% of Pell recipients) during most recent completed award year.</p> <p>a. Request justification from school</p> <p>b. If adequate justification is not received within 30 days, place on school on [reimbursement funding method]</p>	X	
<p>4. One or more cases of two or more sequential social security numbers of Pell recipients at same school</p> <p>a. Request justification from school</p> <p>b. If adequate justification is not received in 30 days, place school on [reimbursement funding method]</p>		
<p>(✓)*5. Significant increases in Federal Pell Grant Program volume (based on percentage for most recent award year) schools with Pell Grant volume of \$500,000 and greater</p>		1, 5/6, 7/8
<p>(✓)*6. Significant increases in schools with \$499,999 and less in Pell Grant Program volume (1989-90 and 1990-91)</p>		1, 5/6, 7/8
<p>(✓)*7. Significant increases in FFELP loans (30% or greater) schools with loan volume of \$500,000 and greater</p>		1, 5/6, 7/8
<p>*8. Significant increases in schools with \$499,999 and less in FFELP loans (1989-90 and 1990-91)</p>		1, 5/6, 7/8
<p>*9. Schools in the top 25% of FFELP loan dollar volume - ranked in descending order - for FY 91</p>		1, 7/8, 9
<p>*10. Schools in the top 25% of FFELP participants - ranked in descending order by default rate</p>	X	1, 5/6, 7/8, 9

*NOTE: HIGHLIGHTED CRITERIA INDICATES FACTORS TO BE USED FOR 1994. REMAINING FACTORS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED WHEN DATA IS AVAILABLE IN PEPS OR OTHER SYSTEMS.

Program Review Selection Criteria (continued)

SELECTION CRITERIA (CRITERIA LIST REVISED QUARTERLY)		REVIEW (X)	COMBINATION =
(✓) INDICATES SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE INCLUDED IN REVIEW RESPONSE DOCUMENT			COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW
ADMINISTRATIVE SPECIFIC CRITERIA			
11. Significant academic deficiencies referral from SPRE/ or accreditation agency (future selection criteria)		X	
12. Requested or received Title IV funds for ineligible branch or additional locations (specific request run as arranged)		X	
a. Place on reimbursement system of funding			
b. Request justification from school for ineligible branch			
c. Pursue possible emergency action			
13. School's failure to meet compliance aspects of institutional self-evaluation model (QA)		X	
*14. Audit report late - one year or more			14 and 16
*15. Schools participating in Lender or Last Resort process (future criteria)			[1,5/6,15=Emergency Action]
FINANCIAL SPECIFIC CRITERIA			
(✓)*16. Schools with EDPMS 272 reports overdue for more than two quarters - monitored by ED Financial Management			[16,19=Emergency Action]
(✓) 17. History and/or complaints for non-payment of refunds		X	
a. Place on reimbursement system of funding			
b. Review actions and/or request justification from school			
c. Establishment of escrow account			
d. If escrow account is not established in 45 days, evaluate for possible [emergency action]			
(✓)*18. Problems indicated through financial monitoring by IPD/FAB		X	
*19. Indicators of excess cash (over \$100,000)		X	
20. Schools that are highly dependent on Title IV program funding (85%, as stipulated by statute)		X	
*21. Schools that are provisionally certified			1, 5/6, 7/8, 21, 22
*22. Withdrawal rate of 33% and above (this criteria is in current--1992--selection criteria)			5/6, 7/8, 16, 18, 21, 23
*23. Schools that are closed and/or ceased to participate in Title IV programs (if school has Perkins Fund or excess federal cash on hand)		X	
*24. No ED program review in last four (4) years			5/6, 7/8, 16, 18, 19, 24
25. State agency, regional offices and accreditation agency assessment (student complaints, adverse publicity, false advertising practices, etc.)			Coordinate with Central Office

*NOTE: HIGHLIGHTED CRITERIA INDICATES FACTORS TO BE USED FOR 1994; REMAINING FACTORS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED WHEN DATA IS AVAILABLE IN PEPS OR OTHER SYSTEMS.